

ALUMNI RESEARCH GRANT EVALUATION FORM

PROPOSAL TITLE \_\_\_\_\_

REVIEWER'S NAME \_\_\_\_\_

Proposal Evaluation Criteria	Points Allocated	Your Rating
1. Justification/rationale is clearly documented (I)	15	_____
2. Research relevant to family & consumer sciences and meets one or more of the following: (I,II,III)	15	_____
A. Contributes to the knowledge base of family & consumer sciences		
B. Furthers the purposes of Phi Upsilon Omicron, especially in regard to academic excellence, leadership development, and/or professional service		
3. Objectives/hypotheses clearly stated (II)	10	_____
4. Research Design is clear, appropriate and comprehensive	20	_____
5. Investigator(s) qualified (III-B) and time line is feasible (III-B)	10	_____
6. Budget is concise, clear and justifiable (IV)	10	_____
7. Benchmark, current and relevant literature cited (V)	10	_____
8. Appropriate supplementary material included (VI-B,C,D)	5	_____
9. Proposal length within guidelines	5	_____
 TOTAL POINTS	 100	 _____

**GENERAL ASSESSMENT -- OVERALL RATING**

After completing the rating form above, please circle the number below which indicates your general evaluation of this proposal.

- 5 - Clearly an outstanding proposal; one which should be funded
- 4 - Very good proposal; one which should be partially funded
- 3 - Good/Average proposal; one which has merit but does not warrant a high priority
- 2 - Fair/Poor proposal; one which has some merit but you have some reservations or concerns with it
- 1 - Clearly a proposal that should not be funded

**COMMENTS - SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT**

On the back of this rating sheet, please identify the strengths and weaknesses of this proposal, suggest additional funding sources, and make suggestions that will assist the applicant(s). Comments from all reviewers will be summarized and shared with the applicant(s).